Schulich School of Law, Dalhousie University

Schulich Law Scholars

Articles, Book Chapters, & Blogs

Faculty Scholarship

4-19-2021

International Decision Commentary: Houngue Éric Noudehouenou v. Republic of Benin

Olabisi D. Akinkugbe

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.schulichlaw.dal.ca/scholarly_works



HOUNGUE ÉRIC NOUDEHOUENOU V. REPUBLIC OF BENIN. App. No. 003/2020

Olabisi D. Akinkugbe

[AMERICAN JOURNAL OF INTERNATIONAL LAW, VOLUME 115 / ISSUE 2, APRIL 2021, PP 281 - 287
DOI: 10.1017/AJIL.2021.16
PUBLISHED ONLINE ON 19 APRIL 2021]

African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights—Universal Declaration of Human Rights—International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights—African Charter on Democracy, Election and Good Governance—right to freedom of association—right to non-discrimination and free practice of religion

HOUNGUE ÉRIC NOUDEHOUENOU V. REPUBLIC OF BENIN. App. No. 003/2020. *At* https://www.african-court.org/en/images/Cases/Judgment/003-2020 Houngue_Eric_Noudehouenou_v_Benin-_Judgment.pdf Afr. Ct. H.P.R., December 3, 2020.

The judgment in *Houngue Éric Noudehouenou v. Republic of Benin*¹ adds to the growing body of human rights jurisprudence on national electoral processes in Africa's international courts.² Mr. Houngue Noudehouenou's ("Houngue") case was sparked by a series of amendments to the 1990 Constitution of the Republic of Benin ("Benin"), Law No. 2019-40 ("the Revised Constitution"), and changes to the Benin's electoral law.³ Houngue argued that the cumulative effect of the amendments violated his right to stand for election in the upcoming 2021 presidential election as an independent candidate, as well as his right to freedom of expression, and freedom of association.

The decision demonstrates the growing importance of Africa's regional and sub-regional courts as an alternative venue for opposition politicians, activists, and citizens to mobilize and challenge election processes and constitutional amendment processes where the playing field in their state is uneven. In turn, it reinforces the pivotal role of the regional and sub-regional courts in

¹ Houngue Éric Noudehouenou v. Republic of Benin, App. No. 003/2020, Judgment (Afr. Ct. H.P.R.) https://www.african-court.org/en/images/Cases/Judgment/003-2020-Houngue_Eric_Noudehouenou_v_Benin-Judgment.pdf

² James Gathii and Jacquelene Mwangi, "The African Court of Human & People's Rights as an Opportunity Structure" in, James Gathii, *The Performance of Africa's International Courts*, (Oxford University Press, 2020)

³ Among other claims, the Houngue, argued that the elections to the National Assembly conducted pursuant to Law No. 2018-31 of 3 September 2018 was neither transparent nor conducted in compliance with the Revised Constitution and the Electoral Code.

Previous attempts in 2006, 2011, and 2017 to amend the 1990 Constitution were met with popular resistance and dismissed by Benin's Constitutional Court. To circumvent this, the government appointed a new Constitutional Court president, who many believed was close to President Patrice Talon, having previously been his private lawyer. David Zounmenou, "Crisis of Confidence in Benin Deepens", 17 December 2020, Institute for Security Studies, https://issafrica.org/iss-today/crisis-of-confidence-in-benin-deepens.

consolidating democratic governance in Africa, and reveals the limits of assessing the performance of Africa's international courts solely on conventional measures of effectiveness and compliance.⁴

The African Court's decision arrives in the shadow of Benin's socio-political crisis, and only a few months before the April 2021 presidential elections. *Houngue*'s case also needs to be situated in the context of the country's recent parliamentary and municipal elections. Benin is one of first States in Africa to introduce multiparty elections. However, Benin's electoral success⁵ and democratic health has slipped since President Patrice Talon took power in 2016.⁶ Under President Talon's watch, Benin has grown increasingly repressive: free speech has been curtailed, and intimidation of political opponents has increased (including the detention of the former president, Thomas Boni Yayi).⁷

In 2018, Houngue was arrested and charged with embezzling public funds. In March 2019 the Investigating Committee of the Court for the Repression of Economic Crimes and Terrorism (CRIET) referred him to the Correctional Chamber of that Court with a new charge for complicity in the abuse of office. He was convicted, and on July 25, 2019 he was sentenced to ten years imprisonment. The detention which led to Houngue's conviction before the CRIET is illustrative of a wider crackdown against opposition politicians in Benin. Indeed, the delegitimization of the opposition is a common strategy among incumbent political figures in Africa.⁸

Under the new electoral system ushered in by the Revised Constitution and amended electoral code, political parties must pay 249 million CFA francs (approximately \$400,000) to field candidates in parliamentary elections. In addition, parties have to secure 10 percent of the total national vote to enter the legislature, forcing local parties to build a national presence. The only two parties that met the criteria to win seats in Parliament in 2019 – the Republican Bloc and the Progressive Union – were both loyal to the president. The stringent eligibility criteria created additional hurdles making it more difficult for opposition parties to field candidates. While

⁴ My approach contrasts views like that expressed by Kal Raustiala that focuses strictly on compliance with the decision of the court as a measure of effectiveness. Kal Raustiala, *Compliance and Effectiveness in International Regulatory Cooperation*, 32 Case Western Reserve J. Int'l L. 393 (2000).

For alternative approaches that resonate with the argument in this essay, see, James Gathii, 'Introduction: The Performance of Africa's International Courts', supra note 2, p. 4 (arguing that Africa's international courts have broader impacts beyond focusing on compliance and effectiveness); Yuval Shany, Assessing the Effectiveness of International Courts: A Goal Based Approach, 106 Am. J. Int'l L. 229 (2012) (contending that each court has distinctive features and operates in a particular legal and political context, hence, a 'goal-based definition of effectiveness is the most suitable for evaluating international court performance.')

⁵ Nic Cheeseman, *Democracy in Africa: Successes, Failures and the Struggle for Political Reform*, (Cambridge University Press, 2015), p. 182.

⁶ Stephen Paduano, "The Fall of a Model Democracy", May 29, 2019, The Atlantic, https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2019/05/benin-west-africa-model-democracy-fall/590377/. The Benin narrative is comparable to the Tanzanian example, see, Jonathan W. Rosen, "Tanzania Was East Africa's Strongest Democracy. Then Came 'The Bulldozer'", May 15, 2019, The Atlantic, https://www.theatlantic.com/international/archive/2019/05/tanzania-president-upended-strong-east-africa-democracy/589339/

⁷ Sarah Maslin Nir, "It Was a Robust Democracy. Then the New President Took Power.", July 4, 2019, The New York Times, https://www.nytimes.com/2019/07/04/world/africa/benin-protests-talon-yayi.html.

⁸ David Christensen & David D. Laitin, *African States Since Independence: Order, Development, & Democracy*, (Yale University Press, 2019), 282-297.

President Talon argued that a tougher threshold would enhance Benin's fragmented Parliament, critics including Mr. Noudehouenou condemned the move as constricting free association and participation in democratic governance.

The municipal elections of May 2020 continued the democratic erosion in Benin, further shrinking space for political opposition. The elections were conducted amidst protests by many Beninese and during the COVID-19 pandemic. The only opposition party to participate – *Forces Cauris pour un Bénin Emergent* (FCBE) – won a majority in seven out of Benin's 77 municipalities. These municipal elections have direct consequences for the presidential election slated for April 2021. Pursuant to Article 44 of the Revised Constitution which was passed by a national assembly (composed solely of elected representatives of the party in power), presidential and vice-presidential candidates are required to be sponsored by at least 16 parliamentarians and/or mayors. From the foregoing, it is evident that the April 2021 Presidential elections would be conducted in the shadow of an executive intolerant of opposition, questionable parliamentary elections, and dubious independence of the Constitutional Court and electoral commission.

After the case was filed, the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights ("African Court") ordered provisional measures in favour of Houngue on two separate occasions. The operative part of the African Court's first provisional measure were two: first, that Benin stay the execution of the CRIET decision until it pronounced its own final judgment; second, that Benin report on the implementation of the preceding order within 15 days. The second interim measure was prompted by Benin's failure to comply with the order arising from the first provisional measure, and required Benin to take all necessary measures to effectively remove any administrative, judicial, and political obstacles to Houngue's candidacy in the forthcoming elections. 12

In the substantive arguments before the African Court, Houngue alleged, *inter alia*, a violation of his right to appeal the CRIET judgment under Articles 10, Universal Declaration of Human Rights (UDHR), 7(1)(a) of the African Charter on Human and Peoples' Rights (Charter), and 2(3) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR). Houngue argued that by requiring Beninese citizens to vote only for candidates chosen and endorsed by political parties, Art. 153-1 of the Revised Constitution violates the right to freedom of expression as enshrined in Article 19(2) of the ICCPR. Further, he argued that Benin's actions violated various regional and international human rights instruments protecting the freedoms of association and expression, and

⁹ Ella Jeannine Abatan and Michaël Matongbada, "Benin's local elections further reduce the political space", 27 May 2020, Institute for Security Studies, https://issafrica.org/iss-today/benins-local-elections-further-reduce-the-political-space

France24, 'Benin President Patrice Talon to face two rivals in April election', France24, February 13, 2021, https://www.france24.com/en/africa/20210213-benin-president-patrice-talon-to-face-two-rivals-in-april-election.

11 Ibid.

Houngue Éric Noudehouenou v. Republic of Benin, App. No. 003/2020 HEN, Ruling, Provisional Measures – 2,
 September 2020; https://www.african-court.org/en/images/Cases/Orders/Appl. 003-2020 - Houngue Erc - RULING - Engl.pdf.

¹³ He also contended that he was prohibited from filing an appeal under Article 19 of the 2018-13 Act of 2 July establishing CRIET.sEE, Houngue Éric Noudehouenou v. Republic of Benin, App. No. 004/2020 HEN, Ruling, Provisional Measures, 6 May 2020 https://www.african-court.org/en/images/Cases/RulingOnJurisdiction/Appl.004-2020-Houngue-Eric-NoudeHouenou-v-Benin---Order-on-provisional-measures.pdf; paras. 7-9.

the right to non-discrimination.¹⁴ In his request for relief, Houngue asked the African Court for an order mandating that Benin take all necessary constitutional, legislative, and associated measures to end these alleged violations in advance of the forthcoming elections, and report to the Court. Benin argued that that the Court lacked jurisdiction to scrutinize or annul its Constitution and electoral Code. In the alternative, the government contended that the matter was inadmissible as Houngue lacked the locus standi to initiate the proceeding. Lastly, Benin asked for a declaration that it did not violate any of Houngue's human rights.

In its judgment, the African Court held that it had material jurisdiction over the case. It was enough that the human rights violations arose out of the Charter and other international human rights instruments ratified by Benin. Further, the Court found that Houngue had exhausted local remedies and fulfilled the conditions for admissibility.

The Court made four findings on alleged human rights violations. First, it found that Benin did not violate Houngue's right to an effective remedy. Second, however, it did find that Benin violated its obligation under Art 10(2) of the African Charter on Democracy, Election and Good Governance (ACDEG) because Benin's constitutional amendment process was not based on national consensus.¹⁵ Notably, "[t]he fact that the Revised Constitution was passed unanimously cannot conceal the need for national consensus driven by the 'ideals that prevailed during the adoption of the Constitution of 11 December 1990' and ... the ACDEG."16 Third, in view of Benin's non-compliance with the ACDEG process for the amendment of the Constitution, the African court found it was unnecessary to rule on the alleged violations of rights to participate in public affairs, equality, freedom of association, freedom of religion and expression as envisaged under the Revised Constitution. According to the Court, 'it is superfluous to give a detailed ruling on violations that would result from any of the revised articles because the Constitutional revision as a whole violates Article 10(2) of the ACDEG.'17 Fourth, the Court found that Benin violated the right of Houngue to be presumed innocent under Article 11 of the UDHR and Article 13(3) of the Charter. Consequently, the African Court ordered Benin to take all measures to repeal the law revising the 1990 Constitution and all subsequent laws relating to the election pursuant to that revision in order to guarantee that its citizens, including Houngue, participate freely in the forthcoming presidential election.

* * *

A paramount outcome of the remedy ordered by the African Cout is the declaration that the process leading to the constitutional revision in Benin was invalid. While Houngue did not seek a monetary remedy, the African Court's order that Benin "take all necessary measures to ensure cessation of all effects of the constitutional revision and the violations which the court found" is an

¹⁴ For example, African Charter Articles 3 (right to equal protection), 13 (right to effective remedy); UDHR Articles 20 (freedom of association) Art. 7 UDHR; Articles 2(3). 26 ICCPR.

¹⁵ Article 10(2) of the African Charter on Democracy, Election and Good Governance (ACDEG) requires "State Parties to ensure that the process of amendment or revision of their Constitution reposes on national consensus, obtained if need be, through referendum."

¹⁶ Supra note 1, p. 17, par. 65.

¹⁷ Ibid, p. 19, par 7.

unprecedented step in the Court's jurisprudence on remedies.¹⁸ In this context, Houngue's case not only reinforces the bold position of the African Court in indicating that constitutional changes may be required to give effect to its judgments, but also broadens the nature of the remedy that it issues.

To understand the broader socio-political and legal context of Houngue's case, one has to see it as part of a wider and growing mobilization of the African Court by opposition politicians as an alternative forum for engaging in political warfare against repressive national governments and for mobilizing social movements. Like its sister sub-regional courts, the African Court does not have jurisdiction to review election disputes arising out of political processes in its Member States. However, for over a decade, political stakeholders and civil society actors have transformed Africa's sub-regional courts into alternative fora for resistance and protest against their governments. Opposition politicians and dissidents have utilized the supranational human rights complaint as a lever to judicialize election disputes. Such strategic human rights litigation before the African Court in particular is on the rise.

The strategic litigation of human rights violations arising from electoral processes provides a tool in the pursuit of social change. This strategy is most obviously employed by opposition parties and candidates, like Houngue. Pro-democracy and civil society groups have also increasingly turned to human rights litigation in Africa's regional and sub-regional courts as a form of activism aimed at consolidating democratic governance. All this is redefining the boundaries of the dockets of these courts.²²

The openness of Africa's regional and sub-regional courts to these sorts of disputes enhances the wider socio-political opportunities of pro-democracy activists and civil society. The rationale for the judicialization of political disputes arising from electoral processes or constitutional amendments relating to the law of democracy is not simply about emerging victorious in the particular case. Political disputes are judicialized in the African Court as a means of mobilizing social change, diversity of opposition voices in politics, and defense of political freedom. Even where Applicants lose the case, strategic litigation can have significant instrumental value.²³ For

5

¹⁸ Compare this to the first merits decision by the Court in *Christopher Mtikila & Ors. v Tanzania*, which confirmed its powers to issue compensation and reparations. https://www.africancourt.org/en/images/Cases/Judgment/Judgment%20Application%20009-011-

<u>2011%20Rev%20Christopher%20Mtikila%20v.%20Tanzania.pdf;</u> Oliver Windridge, 'A Watershed Case for African Human Rights and Others v. Tanzania', Oxford Human Rights Hub Blog, February 17, 2015, https://ohrh.law.ox.ac.uk/a-watershed-case-for-african-human-rights-mtikila-and-others-v-tanzania/

¹⁹ Olabisi D. Akinkugbe, "Towards an Analyses of the Mega-Political Jurisprudence of the ECOWAS Community Court of Justice" in *The Performance of Africa's International Courts*, *supra* note 2, pp. 149-177; Gathii and Mwangi, *supra* note 2; Adem Kassie Abebe, "Taming regressive constitutional amendments: The African Court as a continental (super) Constitutional Court", International Journal of constitutional Law, Vol. 17, Issue 1, 2019, pages 89-117.

²⁰ Frans Viljoen, "Understanding and Overcoming Challenges in Accessing the African Court on Human and Peoples' Rights", 67 INT'L & COMP. L.Q. 63–98 (2018).

²¹ Gathii, "Introduction", in *The Performance of Africa's International Courts, supra* note 2, pp. 1-34

²² Open Society Justice Initiative, *Strategic Litigation Impacts: Insights from Global Experience*, (2018: Open Society Foundations). Online: https://www.justiceinitiative.org/uploads/fd7809e2-bd2b-4f5b-964f-522c7c70e747/strategic-litigation-impacts-insights-20181023.pdf

²³ Akinkugbe, *supra* note 19.

example, through coordinated efforts with the media, litigants use such cases to launch campaigns to pressure their governments to act as a law-abiding member of the international community.²⁴

However, strategic human rights litigation can also have negative consequences for the ability of non-state litigants to access the African Court.²⁵ The growing litigation of high-profile political disputes before that court occurs, after all, in the shadow of executive consolidation and intimidation. Successful invocations of the human rights framework have already generated consequential reactions by Member States – including the withdrawal of optional declarations granting individuals and NGOs direct access to the African Court.²⁶ Mr. Noudehouenou's case was no exception. On March 25, 2020, shortly after its defeat, Benin withdrew its declaration granting individuals direct access to the Court. Benin argued that the Court exceeded its jurisdiction in intervening in its sovereign constitutional matters.²⁷ (Benin's withdrawal will not be effective until March 2021 and would neither affect pending cases nor prevent new cases from being filed before then).

The judgment in *Houngue Éric Noudehouenou v. Republic of Benin* contributes to the density of *mega-political* jurisprudence of Africa's regional and sub-regional courts—a term meant to capture high profile cases arising from national electoral processes, electoral procedures, good governance and the rule of law, constitutional and electoral law amendments, and regime change.²⁸ These disputes are always embedded in broader national socio-political contestations. The strength of mega-political disputes lies in their instrumental and non-material value and the changes that they prompt. These cases are instrumental in every phase, as they present the litigants with sustained leverage to pressure the government through the media.

²⁴ Gathii and Mwangi, *supra* note 2.

²⁵ Human rights violations are judicialized before the African Court either *directly* where the optional Declaration prescribed under Art. 34(6) has been deposited with the African Union Commission or *indirectly*, as a result of reference from the African Commission on Human and Peoples' Rights.

²⁶ Sègnonna Horace Adjolohoun, "A crisis of design and judicial practice? Curbing disengagement from the African Court on Human and Peoples' Right", (2020) 20 African Human Rights Law Journal 1-40; Nicole De Silva and Misha Plagis, 'A Court in Crisis: African States' Increasing Resistance to Africa's Human Rights Court", 5 May 2020, Opinion Juris, http://opiniojuris.org/2020/05/19/a-court-in-crisis-african-states-increasing-resistance-to-africas-human-rights-court/; Frans Viljoen and Michael Nyarko, Centre for Human Rights Expresses Concern About the Withdrawal of Direct Individual Access to the African Court by Benin and Côte D'Ivoire, Centre for Human Rights Press
Release
(May
5,
2020); https://www.chr.up.ac.za/images/centrenews/2020/Centre for Human Rights expresses concern about the withd rawal of direct individual access to the African Court by Benin and Cote dIvoire.pdf

In the context of other African international courts, see, Karen Alter, James T. Gathii and Laurence R. Helfer, "Backlash Against International Courts in West, East and Southern Africa: Causes and Consequences", 27 European J. Int'l 293–328 (2016).

²⁷ According to the Benin Minister of Justice and Legislation, Sévérin Quenum, the withdrawal is justified because "For several years now, certain rulings make by the African Court of Human and People's Rights have given rave cause for concern because of 'severe anomalies which have driven Tanzania, its host country, and Rwanda, to withdraw from participation over individual and NGO access arrangements." Quoted in Abdoulaye Bah and Adam Long, "Benin's partial withdrawal from African Charter of Human Rights is a retreat from democracy", Global Voices, 7 May 2020, https://globalvoices.org/2020/05/07/benins-partial-withdrawal-from-african-charter-of-human-rights-is-a-retreat-from-democracy/

²⁸ Akinkugbe, *supra* note 19, p. 150.

Looking forward, to consolidate and maximize the socio-political benefits of the opportunities created by mega-political disputes for social movements, litigants and activists must coordinate and cross-fertilize the jurisprudence of the African Court and other sub-regional courts. For example, in the context of the Economic Community of West African States Court of Justice (ECOWAS Court), the *Ugokwe* doctrine sets an important precedent defining the circumstances in which election disputes come under its jurisdiction.²⁹ It asserts that even if the legal texts applicable to the relevant Court

'... confer no general or specific power to adjudicate election disputes or matters arising therefrom, in appropriate cases, the [ECOWAS] Court will assume jurisdiction where the determination of the human rights of the parties are intertwined with mega-political disputes.'30

Intentionally cross-incorporating the wider mega-politics jurisprudence of the different regional and sub-regional courts would enhance their role as actors in norm-generation and development of their own common law.³¹ Professional organizations can collaborate with civil rights groups and pro-democracy advocates to advance the norms of socio-political movement in pursuit of legal reforms and social change. For example, the work of the Pan African Lawyers Union (PALU) illustrates the role that professional organizations can play in supporting public interest and strategic litigation with a view to not only cross-fertilizing the jurisprudence of the courts, but also, bringing valuable knowledge, skills, and experience to mega-politics disputes before the court.³² In this regard, the density of the mega-political decisions bodes well for the consolidation of strategic litigations as a tool for social and political mobilization.

In conclusion, *Houngue Éric Noudehouenou v. Republic of Benin* shows the growing strategy that opposition politicians and political parties adopt in mobilizing legal reforms through social movements before the African regional and sub-regional courts. The strategic nature of the litigation does not map on to the traditional narratives about the implementation and effectiveness of international judicial decisions. Yet, it is effective in an important way. Based on strategically orchestrated international and national media attention, litigants can bring extraordinary pressure on national governments. To avoid 'naming and shaming' in national and international media, an otherwise authoritarian executive may allow legal reforms to proceed.³³ It is too early to say how Mr. *Noudehouenou's* case will end. But it tends to illustrate how strategic litigation of megapolitical cases in Africa's regional and sub-regional courts is growing in importance as a tool for socio-political movements and ultimately as a driver for legal and political reform.

Olabisi D. Akinkugbe Schulich School of Law

²⁹ *Dr. Jerry Ugokwe v. The Federal Republic of Nigeria and Dr. Christian Okeke*, No. ECW/ CCJ/ JUD/ 03/ 05, Judgment, (Oct. 7, 2005).

³⁰ Akinkugbe, *supra* note 19, p. 158

³¹ Martha Finnemore and Kathryn Sikkink, 'International Norm Dynamics and Political Change', (1998) 52:4 International Organization, 887-917.

³² See, The Pan African Lawyers Union (PALU), https://lawyersofafrica.org/about/what-palu-does/

³³ Emilie M. Hafner-Burton, "Sticks and Stones: Naming and Shaming the Human Rights Enforcement Problem", (2008) 62:4 International Organization, 689-716.

Dalhousie University, Canada